April 19, 2016

The Honorable Orrin G. Hatch, Chairman
Senate Committee on Finance
219 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510

The Honorable Ron Wyden, Ranking Member
Senate Committee on Finance
219 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510

Re: Identity Theft Mark-up Amendment Related to the Regulation of Tax Return Preparers

Dear Chairman Hatch and Ranking Member Wyden:

The American Institute of CPAs (AICPA) commends the Committee on Finance for its continuing bipartisan efforts to combat identity theft and tax fraud. The AICPA has been a steadfast supporter of the goals of enhancing compliance and elevating ethical conduct. Ensuring that tax preparers are competent and ethical is critical to maintaining taxpayer confidence in our tax system. Indeed, these goals are consistent with AICPA’s own Code of Conduct and enforceable tax ethical standards.

For these reasons, the AICPA would like to specifically express its support for the Wyden amendment relating to regulation of tax return preparers to the second modification to a Bill to Prevent Identity Theft and Tax Refund Fraud. Providing the IRS with a focused and targeted approach with Congressional oversight will help to promote good tax administration and protect the interests of the American taxpayer.

Authorization to Revoke PTINs

Currently, the IRS does not possess the authority to rescind a preparer tax identification number (PTIN) from a tax return preparer who has been subjected to (1) preparer penalty provisions under the Internal Revenue Code, or (2) discipline under Circular 230. The IRS must rely on the Department of Justice and the courts to enjoin an individual from preparing tax returns, which can be an expensive and slow process.

The AICPA supports the provision in the Wyden amendment that authorizes the IRS to revoke a PTIN for failure to comply with regulations under either the Internal Revenue Code or Title 31,
subject to appropriate due process. The AICPA recognizes that this provision is essential to allow
the IRS to quickly and efficiently stop incompetent and unscrupulous preparers from continuing
to file inaccurate and fraudulent tax returns.

**Limitation on IRS’s Authority to Require a PTIN**

The IRS currently requires all “preparers” to obtain a PTIN. Under Circular 230, § 10.8, “Any
individual who for compensation prepares or assists with the preparation of all or substantially all
of a tax return or claim for refund must have a preparer tax identification number…. However,
when individuals are supervised by attorneys, certified public accountants or enrolled agents, who
sign the return and are ultimately responsible for its accuracy, these supervised individuals should
not be required to obtain a PTIN. We believe such an exclusion from the current PTIN system
would recognize the inherent regulatory regime within which CPAs and other Circular 230 legacy
practitioners already practice, as well as the fact that CPA firms must stand, as a matter of
licensure, behind the work done by the members and employees of their firms.

We therefore support the provision in the Wyden amendment that excludes non-signers from the
requirement to obtain a PTIN if those non-signers are supervised by an attorney, certified public
accountant, enrolled agent, enrolled retirement plan agent, or enrolled actuary authorized to
practice before the IRS under Circular 230 §10.3(a) through (e); and (ii) the supervising attorney,
certified public accountant, enrolled agent, enrolled retirement plan agent, or enrolled actuary signs
the tax returns or claims for refund prepared by the individual.

**Mitigation of Marketplace Confusion**

Since some taxpayers are already confused by the different qualifications of preparers and the
varying practice rights that they possess, we support the provision in the Wyden amendment that
To mitigate marketplace confusion, it is important that unlicensed PTIN holders using any paid
advertising involving print, television, radio, or other medium – *in which the individual represents
themselves as a registered tax return preparer* – should display or broadcast a statement directing
the taxpaying public to the IRS website where the differences between the various types of
preparers (e.g., qualifications) are explained, and informing the public that the IRS does not
endorse any particular tax return preparer.

**GAO Study on IRS’s Exchange of Information with State Taxing Authorities**

The AICPA supports the provision in the Wyden amendment directing a GAO study on the
benefits of increasing the exchange of information relating to return preparers between the IRS
and state taxing authorities. We believe the exchange of tax preparer data (particularly as it relates
to incompetent and fraudulent preparers) would improve tax administration by reducing duplicate government resource expenditures and increasing taxpayer compliance. An information exchange process would also assist states in identifying incompetent or unscrupulous preparers whose PTINs have been revoked by the IRS.

Congress has previously recognized the importance of this information exchange with regard to tax return preparers by permitting the disclosure of Federal tax information to state agencies for tax administration purposes under section 6103(d). The IRS currently exchanges a limited amount of data with states, most notably with regard to penalty assessments under IRC section 6694, 6695 and 7216.

On another matter, the AICPA also encourages the Committee to focus the Treasury and the IRS on enacting a testing and continuing education program similar to the IRS Registered Tax Return Preparer program implemented in 2010. We agree with the National Taxpayer Advocate that the return preparer oversight program was well planned after extensive consultation with stakeholder groups.1 We think the one-time “entrance” examination to ensure basic Form 1040 competency in individual income tax return preparation and the requirement for unenrolled preparers to satisfy 15 hours of annual continuing education were both appropriate and sufficient to protect taxpayers from incompetence and misconduct, while not raising the bar so high that there are an insufficient number of preparers to assist taxpayers wanting and needing such assistance.

In order to prevent potential overregulation, while addressing taxpayers’ and our members’ concerns regarding the incompetent and fraudulent unenrolled preparers, we strongly recommend limiting the IRS’s regulatory authority to the current parameters of Circular 230.

* * * * *

We appreciate that the Committee recognizes the need to address incompetent and unscrupulous tax return preparers in conjunction with preventing identity theft and tax refund fraud. Taxpayers need and deserve the protection that the Wyden amendment provides.

The AICPA is the world’s largest member association representing the accounting profession, with more than 412,000 members in 144 countries and a 125-year heritage of serving the public interest. Our members advise clients on Federal, state and international tax matters and prepare income and other tax returns for millions of Americans. Our members provide services to individuals, not-for-profit organizations, small and medium-sized businesses, as well as America’s largest businesses.

---

We appreciate your consideration of our recommendations and welcomes the opportunity to discuss these comments or to answer any questions that you may have. I can be reached at (801) 523-1051 or tlewis@sisna.com; or you may contact Melissa Labant, AICPA Director of Tax Policy & Advocacy, at (202) 434-9234, or mlabant@aicpa.org.

Sincerely,

Troy K. Lewis, CPA
Chair, AICPA Tax Executive Committee

cc: Members of the Senate Committee on Finance