AR Section 60

Framework for Performing and Reporting on Compilation and Review Engagements

Issue date, unless otherwise indicated: December 2009

Source: SSARS No. 19

Introduction

.01 This section provides a framework and defines and describes the objectives and elements of compilation and review engagements. This section also sets forth the meaning of certain terms used in Statements on Standards for Accounting and Review Services (SSARSs) issued by the Accounting and Review Services Committee (ARSC) in describing the professional requirements imposed on accountants performing compilation and review engagements.

.02 The following is an overview of this section:

- "Relevant Definitions." This section defines various terms used throughout SSARSs.
- "Objectives and Limitations of Compilation and Review Engagements." This section sets forth the objectives and limitations of compilation and review engagements and identifies the differences between each engagement.
- "Professional Requirements." This section sets forth the meaning of certain terms used in SSARSs in describing the professional requirements imposed on accountants performing a compilation or review engagement.
- "Hierarchy of Compilation and Review Standards and Guidance." This section sets forth the hierarchy of SSARSs literature.
- "Elements of a Compilation or Review Engagement." This section identifies and discusses five engagement elements: a three party relationship involving management, an accountant, and intended users; an applicable financial reporting framework; financial statements; evidence (in a review engagement); and a written communication or report. It explains important distinctions between compilation engagements in which the accountant obtains no assurance and review engagements that are designed to obtain limited assurance.
- "Materiality." This section discusses the concept of materiality in the context of the preparation and presentation of financial statements.

.03 This section is intended to help accountants better understand their professional responsibilities when engaged to compile or review financial statements or financial information. Additional standards of SSARSs have been established to set forth specific performance and reporting requirements. Such additional standards are based on the framework provided by this standard, and any requirements created by this standard also have been incorporated into the additional standards of SSARSs.

Relevant Definitions

.04 Terms defined for purposes of SSARSs are as follows:

Applicable financial reporting framework. The financial reporting framework adopted by management and, when appropriate, those charged with governance in the preparation of the financial statements that is acceptable in view of the nature of the entity and the objective of the financial statements, or that is required by law or regulation.

Assurance engagement. An engagement in which an accountant issues a report designed to enhance the degree of confidence of third parties and management about the outcome of an evaluation or measurement of financial statements (subject matter) against an applicable financial reporting framework (criteria).

Attest engagement. An engagement that requires independence, as defined in AICPA *Professional Standards*.

Financial reporting framework. A set of criteria used to determine measurement, recognition, presentation, and disclosure of all material items appearing in the financial statements.

Financial statements. A structured representation of historical financial information, including related notes, intended to communicate an entity's economic resources and obligations at a point in time or the changes therein for a period of time in accordance with a financial reporting framework. The related notes ordinarily comprise a summary of significant accounting policies and other explanatory information. The term *financial statements* ordinarily refers to a complete set of financial statements as determined by the requirements of the applicable financial reporting framework, but can also refer to a single financial statement or financial statements without notes.

Management. The person(s) with executive responsibility for the conduct of the entity's operations. For some entities, management includes some or all of those charged with governance (for example, executive members of a governance board or an owner-manager).

Nonissuer. All entities except for those defined in Section 3 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 [15 U.S.C. 78c], the securities of which are registered under Section 12 of that Act (15 U.S.C. 78l), or that is required to file reports under Section 15(d) (15 U.S.C. 78o(d)), or that files or has filed a registration statement that has not yet become effective under the Securities Act of 1933 (15 U.S.C. 77a et seq.), and that it has not withdrawn.

Other comprehensive basis of accounting (OCBOA). A definite set of criteria, other than accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America or International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRSs), having substantial support underlying the preparation of financial statements prepared pursuant to that basis.

Examples of an OCBOA are as follows:

a. A basis of accounting that the reporting entity uses to comply with the requirements or financial reporting provisions of a governmental regulatory agency to whose jurisdiction the entity is subject (for example, a basis of accounting that insurance companies use pursuant to the rules of a state insurance commission).

b. A basis of accounting that the reporting entity uses or expects to use to file its income tax return for the period covered by the financial statements.

c. The cash basis of accounting and modifications of the cash basis having substantial support (for example, recording depreciation on fixed assets). Ordinarily, a modification would have substantial support if the method is equivalent to the accrual basis of accounting for that item and if the method is not illogical.

Review evidence. Information used by the accountant to provide a reasonable basis for the obtaining of limited assurance.

Submission of financial statements. Presenting to management financial statements that an accountant has prepared.[*]

Third party. All persons, including those charged with governance, except for members of management.

Those charged with governance. The person(s) with responsibility for overseeing the strategic direction of the entity and obligations related to the accountability of the entity. This includes overseeing the financial reporting process. Those charged with governance are specifically excluded from management, unless they perform management functions.

Objectives and Limitations of Compilation and Review Engagements

.05 A compilation is a service, the objective of which is to assist management in presenting financial information in the form of financial statements [1] without undertaking to obtain or provide any assurance that there are no material modifications that should be made to the financial statements in order for the statements to be in conformity with the applicable financial reporting framework. Although a compilation is not an assurance engagement, it is an attest engagement.

.06 A compilation differs significantly from a review or an audit of financial statements. A compilation does not contemplate performing inquiry, analytical procedures, or other procedures performed in a review. Additionally, a compilation does not contemplate obtaining an understanding of the entity's internal

---

[*] In January 2013, the Professional Ethics Executive Committee adopted a provision in the "Scope and Applicability of Nonattest Services" interpretation (ET sec. 1.295.010) under the "Independence Rule" (ET sec. 1.200.001) of the AICPA Code of Professional Conduct. This provision provides, among other things, that financial statement preparation is considered outside the scope of the attest engagement and, therefore, constitutes a nonattest service subject to the requirements of the "Nonattest Services" subtopic (ET sec. 1.295). The provision is effective for engagements covering periods beginning on or after December 15, 2014. [Footnote added, October 2013, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the revision of Ethics Interpretation No. 101-3. Footnote revised, January 2015, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of the revised AICPA Code of Professional Conduct, effective December 15, 2014.]

[1] For purposes of the Statements on Standards for Accounting and Review Services, with respect to compilation engagements, references to "financial statements" include, when applicable, other specified elements, accounts, or items of a financial statement and pro forma financial information.

control; assessing fraud risk; testing accounting records by obtaining sufficient appropriate audit evidence through inspection, observation, confirmation, or the examination of source documents (for example, cancelled checks or bank images); or other procedures ordinarily performed in an audit. Therefore, a compilation does not provide a basis for obtaining or providing any assurance regarding the financial statements.

.07 A review is a service, the objective of which is to obtain limited assurance that there are no material modifications that should be made to the financial statements in order for the statements to be in conformity with the applicable financial reporting framework. In a review engagement, the accountant should accumulate review evidence to obtain a limited level of assurance. A review engagement is an assurance engagement as well as an attest engagement.

.08 A review differs significantly from an audit of financial statements in which the auditor obtains a high level of assurance (expressed in the auditor's report as obtaining reasonable assurance) that the financial statements are free of material misstatement. A review does not contemplate obtaining an understanding of the entity's internal control; assessing fraud risk; testing accounting records by obtaining sufficient appropriate audit evidence through inspection, observation, confirmation, or the examination of source documents (for example, cancelled checks or bank images); or other procedures ordinarily performed in an audit. Accordingly, in a review, the accountant does not obtain assurance that he or she will become aware of all significant matters that would be disclosed in an audit. Therefore, a review is designed to obtain only limited assurance that there are no material modifications that should be made to the financial statements in order for the statements to be in conformity with the applicable financial reporting framework.

Professional Requirements

Requirements

.09 SSARSs contain professional requirements, together with related guidance, in the form of explanatory material. Accountants performing a compilation or review have a responsibility to consider the entire text of a SSARS in carrying out their work on an engagement and in understanding and applying the professional requirements of the relevant SSARSs.

.10 Not every paragraph of a SSARS carries a professional requirement that the accountant is expected to fulfill. Rather, the professional requirements are communicated by the language and the meaning of the words used in SSARSs.

.11 SSARSs use two categories of professional requirements identified by specific terms to describe the degree of responsibility they impose on accountants. They are as follows:

- *Unconditional requirements.* The accountant is required to comply with an unconditional requirement in all cases in which the circumstances exist to which the unconditional requirement applies. SSARSs use the words *must* or *is required* to indicate an unconditional requirement.
- *Presumptively mandatory requirements.* The accountant also is required to comply with a presumptively mandatory requirement in all cases in which the circumstances exist to which the presumptively mandatory requirement applies; however, in rare circumstances, the accountant may depart from a presumptively mandatory requirement provided that the accountant documents his or

her justification for the departure and how the alternative procedures performed in the circumstances were sufficient to achieve the objectives of the presumptively mandatory requirement. SSARSs use the word *should* to indicate a presumptively mandatory requirement.

If a SSARS provides that a procedure or action is one that the accountant "should consider," the consideration of the procedure or action is presumptively required, whereas carrying out the procedure or action is not. The professional requirements of a SSARS are to be understood and applied in the context of the explanatory material that provides guidance for their application. The specific terms used to define professional requirements are not intended to apply to interpretative publications issued under the authority of the ARSC because interpretative publications are not SSARSs.

Explanatory Material

.12 *Explanatory material* is defined as the text within a SSARS (excluding any related appendixes or interpretations) that may do the following:

- Provide further explanation and guidance on the professional requirements
- Identify and describe other procedures or actions relating to the activities of the accountant

.13 Explanatory material that provides further explanation and guidance on the professional requirements is intended to be descriptive rather than imperative. That is, it explains the objective of the professional requirements (when not otherwise self-evident); it explains why the accountant might consider or employ particular procedures, depending on the circumstances; and it provides additional information for the accountant to consider in exercising professional judgment in performing the engagement.

.14 Explanatory material that identifies and describes other procedures or actions relating to the activities of the accountant is not intended to impose a professional requirement for the accountant to perform the suggested procedures or actions. Rather, these procedures or actions require the accountant's attention and understanding; how and whether the accountant carries out such procedures or actions in the engagement depends on the exercise of professional judgment in the circumstances consistent with the objective of the standard. The words *may*, *might*, and *could* are used to describe these actions and procedures.

Hierarchy of Compilation and Review Standards and Guidance

Compilation and Review Standards

.15 An accountant must perform a compilation or review engagement of a nonissuer in accordance with SSARSs, except for certain reviews of interim financial information as discussed in paragraph .01 of section 90, *Review of Financial Statements*. SSARSs provide a measure of quality and the objectives to be achieved in both a compilation and review engagement.

.16 The "Compliance With Standards Rule" (ET sec. 1.310.001), requires an AICPA member who performs compilations or reviews to comply with standards promulgated by the ARSC. The ARSC develops and issues standards in the form of SSARSs through a due process that includes deliberations in meetings open to the public, public exposure of proposed SSARSs, and a formal vote. Finalized SSARSs are codified. [Revised, January 2015, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of the revised AICPA Code of Professional Conduct, effective December 15, 2014.]

.17 The nature of SSARSs requires an accountant to exercise professional judgment in applying them.

Interpretative Publications

.18 Interpretative publications consist of compilation and review interpretations of SSARSs; appendixes to SSARSs; compilation and review guidance included in AICPA Audit and Accounting Guides; and AICPA Statements of Position, to the extent that those statements are applicable to compilation and review engagements. Interpretative publications are not standards for accounting and review services. Interpretative publications are recommendations on the application of SSARSs in specific circumstances, including engagements for entities in specialized industries. An interpretative publication is issued under the authority of the ARSC after all ARSC members have been provided an opportunity to consider and comment on whether the proposed interpretative publication is consistent with SSARSs.

.19 The accountant should be aware of and consider interpretative publications applicable to his or her compilation or review. If the accountant does not apply the guidance included in an applicable interpretative publication, the accountant should be prepared to explain how he or she complied with the provisions of SSARSs addressed by such guidance.

Other Compilation and Review Publications

.20 Other compilation and review publications include AICPA accounting and review publications not referred to previously; the AICPA's annual *Compilation and Review Alert*; compilation and review articles in the *Journal of Accountancy* and other professional journals; compilation and review articles in the AICPA's *The CPA Letter*; continuing professional education programs and other instructional materials, textbooks, guide books, compilation and review programs, and checklists; and other compilation and review publications from state CPA societies, other organizations, and individuals. Other compilation and review publications have no authoritative status; however, they may help the accountant understand and apply SSARSs. An accountant is not expected to be aware of the full body of other compilation and review publications.

.21 If an accountant applies the guidance included in an other compilation and review publication, he or she should be satisfied that, in his or her judgment, it is both relevant to the circumstances of the engagement and appropriate. In determining whether an other compilation and review publication that has not been reviewed by the AICPA Audit and Attest Standards staff is appropriate, the accountant may wish to consider the degree to which the publication is recognized as being helpful in understanding and applying SSARSs and the degree to which the issuer or author is recognized as an authority in compilation and review matters. Other compilation and review publications published by the AICPA that have been reviewed by the AICPA Audit and Attest Standards staff are presumed to be appropriate.

Ethical Principles and Quality Control Standards

.22 In addition to SSARSs, AICPA members who perform compilation and review engagements are governed by

a. the AICPA's Code of Professional Conduct (code), which expresses the profession's recognition of its responsibilities to the public, to clients, and to colleagues. The principles of the code guide members in the performance of their professional responsibilities and express the basic tenets of ethical and professional conduct. The principles call for an unswerving commitment to honorable behavior, even at the sacrifice of personal advantage.

b. Statements on Quality Control Standards (SQCSs), which establish standards and provide guidance on a firm's system of quality control.

.23 The code sets out the fundamental ethical principles that all AICPA members are required to observe. When performing a compilation or review engagement, the code requires an accountant to maintain objectivity and integrity and comply with all other applicable provisions.

.24 An accountant has the responsibility to adopt a system of quality control in conducting an accounting practice. Thus, a firm should establish quality control policies and procedures to provide reasonable assurance that personnel comply with SSARSs in compilation and review engagements. The nature and extent of a firm's quality control policies and procedures depend on factors such as its size, the degree of operating autonomy allowed its personnel and its practice offices, the nature of its practice, its organization, and appropriate cost-benefit considerations.

.25 SSARSs relate to the conduct of individual compilation and review engagements; SQCSs relate to the conduct of a firm's accounting practice. Thus, SSARSs and SQCSs are related, and the quality control policies and procedures that a firm adopts may affect both the conduct of an individual engagement and the firm's accounting practice as a whole. However, deficiencies in, or instances of noncompliance with, a firm's quality control policies and procedures do not, in and of themselves, indicate that a particular review or compilation engagement was not performed in accordance with SSARSs.

Elements of a Compilation or Review Engagement

.26 The following elements of a compilation and review engagement are discussed in this section:

a. A three party relationship involving management, an accountant, and intended users

b. An applicable financial reporting framework

c. Financial statements or financial information

d. In a review, sufficient appropriate review evidence

e. A written communication or report

Three Party Relationship

.27 A compilation or review engagement involves three parties: management (or the responsible party); an accountant in public practice, as defined by the AICPA code; and intended users of the financial statements or financial information. [Revised, January 2015, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of the revised AICPA Code of Professional Conduct, effective December 15, 2014.]

.28 In some cases, management and the intended users may be the same. Intended users may be from different entities (for example, a banker or potential investor) or the same entity.

.29 If an accountant is not in public practice, the issuance of a written communication or report under SSARSs would be inappropriate. [Revised, January 2015, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of the revised AICPA Code of Professional Conduct, effective December 15, 2014.]

Management (Responsible Party)

.30 Management responsibilities include taking responsibility for the preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in accordance with the applicable financial reporting framework and taking responsibility for designing, implementing, and maintaining internal control.[2]

.31 A basic premise underlying the performance of a compilation or review engagement is that the accountant is performing an attest service on subject matter that is the responsibility of the client's management. Therefore, an accountant is precluded from issuing an unmodified compilation report or a review report on financial statements when management is unwilling to accept responsibility for the preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in accordance with the applicable financial reporting framework or to take responsibility for the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control.

.32 As part of their responsibility for the preparation and presentation of the financial statements, management and, when appropriate, those charged with governance, are responsible for the identification of the applicable financial reporting framework and the preparation and presentation of the financial statements in accordance with that framework.

.33 During the performance of a compilation or review engagement, the accountant may make suggestions about the form or content of the financial statements or prepare them, in whole or in part, based on information that is the representation of management.[*]

Accountant in Public Practice

.34 The accountant should possess a level of knowledge of the accounting principles and practices of the industry in which the entity operates that will enable him or her to compile or review financial statements that are appropriate in form for an entity operating in that industry. As addressed in the firm's quality control system, an accountant should not accept an engagement if preliminary knowledge of the engagement circumstances indicates that ethical

---

[2] The Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission defines *internal control* as a process effected by management (or those charged with governance and other personnel) designed to provide reasonable assurance about the achievement of the entity's objectives. Internal control consists of five interrelated components:

1. Control environment sets the tone of an organization, influencing the control consciousness of its people. It is the foundation for all other components of internal control, providing discipline and structure.
2. Entity's risk assessment is the entity's identification and analysis of relevant risks to achievement of its objectives, forming a basis for determining how the risks should be managed.
3. Information and communication systems support the identification, capture, and exchange of information in a form and time frame that enables people to carry out their responsibilities.
4. Control activities are the policies and procedures that help ensure that management directives are carried out.
5. Monitoring is a process that assesses the quality of internal control performance over time.

[*] See footnote * in paragraph .04 in this chapter.

requirements regarding professional competence will not be satisfied. In some cases, this requirement can be satisfied by the accountant using the work of persons from other professional disciplines, referred to as *experts*. In such cases, the accountant should be satisfied that those persons carrying out aspects of the engagement possess the requisite skills and knowledge and that the accountant has an adequate level of involvement in the engagement and understanding of the work for which any expert is used. [Revised, January 2015, to reflect conforming changes necessary due to the issuance of the revised AICPA Code of Professional Conduct, effective December 15, 2014.]

Intended Users of the Financial Statements or Financial Information

.35 The intended users are the person(s) or class of persons who understand the limitations of the compilation or review engagement and financial statements. The accountant has no responsibility to identify the intended users.

.36 In some cases, intended users (for example, bankers and regulators) may impose a requirement on or request the client to arrange for additional procedures to be performed for a specific purpose. For example, a banker may request that certain agreed-upon procedures be performed with respect to the entity's accounts receivable in addition to the financial statements being compiled. An accountant may perform additional services in conjunction with the compilation or review, as long as he or she adheres to professional standards with respect to those additional services.

An Applicable Financial Reporting Framework

.37 Management and, when applicable, those charged with governance are responsible for the selection of the entity's applicable financial reporting framework, as well as individual accounting policies when the financial reporting framework contains acceptable alternatives. The financial reporting framework encompasses financial accounting standards established by an authorized or recognized standards setting organization.

.38 The requirements of the applicable financial reporting framework determine the form and content of the financial statements. Although the framework may not specify how to account for or disclose all transactions or events, it ordinarily embodies sufficiently broad principles that can serve as a basis for developing and applying accounting policies that are consistent with the concepts underlying the requirements of the framework.

.39 Examples of financial reporting frameworks include accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, as promulgated by the Financial Accounting Standards Board, the Governmental Accounting Standards Board, or the Federal Accounting Standards Advisory Board; IFRSs issued by the International Accounting Standards Board; and OCBOA.

Financial Statement or Financial Information

.40 An accountant may be engaged to compile or review a complete set of financial statements or an individual financial statement (for example, balance sheet only). The financial statements may be for an annual period or for a shorter or longer period, depending on management's needs.

.41 The requirements of the applicable financial reporting framework determine what constitutes a complete set of financial statements. In the case of many frameworks, financial statements are intended to provide information about the financial position, financial performance, and cash flows of an entity. For example, a complete set of financial statements might include a balance sheet, an income statement, a statement of retained earnings, a cash flow statement, and related notes. For some other financial reporting frameworks, a single financial statement and the related notes might constitute a complete set of financial statements.

.42 The preparation of the financial statements requires management to exercise judgment in making accounting estimates that are reasonable in the circumstances, as well as to select and apply appropriate accounting policies. These judgments are made in the context of the applicable financial reporting framework.

Evidence

.43 When performing a compilation engagement, the accountant has no responsibility to obtain any evidence about the accuracy or completeness of the financial statements. As a result, a compilation does not provide a basis for obtaining any level of assurance on the financial statements being compiled.

.44 When performing a review engagement, the accountant should perform procedures designed to accumulate review evidence that will provide a reasonable basis for obtaining limited assurance that there are no material modifications that should be made to the financial statements in order for the statements to be in conformity with the applicable financial reporting framework. The accountant should apply professional judgment in determining the specific nature, timing, and extent of review procedures. Such procedures should be tailored based on the accountant's understanding of the industry in which the client operates and the accountant's knowledge of the entity. The nature, timing, and extent of procedures for gathering review evidence are deliberately limited relative to an audit.

.45 Review evidence obtained through the performance of analytical procedures and inquiries ordinarily will provide the accountant with a reasonable basis for obtaining limited assurance.

Compilation and Review Reports

.46 If the accountant performs a compilation, a report or written communication is required unless the accountant withdraws from the engagement.[3] If the accountant is not independent, he or she may issue a compilation report, provided that the accountant complies with the compilation standards. In making a judgment about whether he or she is independent, the accountant should be guided by the AICPA's Code of Professional Conduct.

.47 If the accountant performs a review, a written review report is required unless the accountant withdraws from the engagement.

Materiality

.48 Financial reporting frameworks often discuss the concept of materiality in the context of the preparation and presentation of financial statements. Although financial reporting frameworks may discuss materiality in different terms, they generally explain that

---

[3] As further described in paragraphs .22–.24 of section 80, *Compilation of Financial Statements*, an accountant may be associated with the submission of financial statements not expected to be used by a third party. Such service does not require the accountant to issue a report on the financial statements.

- misstatements, including omissions, are considered to be material if they, individually or in the aggregate, could reasonably be expected to influence the economic decisions of users taken on the basis of the financial statements;
- judgments about materiality are made in light of surrounding circumstances and are affected by the size or nature of a misstatement or a combination of both; and
- judgments about matters that are material to users of the financial statements are based on a consideration of the common financial information needs of users as a group. The possible effect of misstatements on specific individual users, whose needs may vary widely, is not considered.

.49 Such a discussion, if present in the applicable financial reporting framework, provides a frame of reference to the accountant in determining whether there are any material modifications that should be made to the financial statements in order for the statements to be in conformity with the applicable financial reporting framework. If the applicable financial reporting framework does not include a discussion of the concept of materiality, the characteristics referred to in paragraph .48 provide the accountant with such a frame of reference.

.50 The accountant's determination of materiality is a matter of professional judgment and is affected by the accountant's perception of the financial information needs of users of the financial statements. In this context, it is reasonable for the accountant to assume that users

a. have a reasonable knowledge of business and economic activities and accounting and a willingness to study the information in the financial statements with reasonable diligence;

b. understand that financial statements are prepared, presented, and reviewed to levels of materiality;

c. recognize the uncertainties inherent in the measurement of amounts based on the use of estimates, judgment, and the consideration of future events; and

d. make reasonable economic decisions on the basis of the information in the financial statements.

Effective Date

.51 This section is effective for compilations and reviews of financial statements for periods ending on or after December 15, 2010.