

May 6, 2016

Lisa A. Snyder
Director of the Professional Ethics Division
AICPA
1211 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10036


By email: lsnyder@aicpa.org

**Re: Exposure Draft, AICPA Professional Ethics Division – *Omnibus Proposal*,
November 25, 2015**


Dear Ms. Snyder:

The New York State Society of Certified Public Accountants (NYSSCPA), representing more than 28,000 CPAs in public practice, industry, government and education, welcomes the opportunity to comment on the above captioned exposure draft.

The NYSSCPA's Professional Ethics Committee deliberated the exposure draft and prepared the attached comments. If you would like additional discussion with us, please contact Renee Rampulla, Chair of the Professional Ethics Committee at (212) 719-8361, or Ernest J. Markezin, NYSSCPA staff, at (212) 719-8303.

Sincerely,

Joseph M. Falbo, Jr.
President

Attachment



**NEW YORK STATE SOCIETY OF
CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS**

COMMENTS ON

**EXPOSURE DRAFT, AICPA PROFESSIONAL ETHICS DIVISION, OMNIBUS
PROPOSAL, NOVEMBER 25, 2015**

May 6, 2016

Principal Drafters

**Elliot L. Hendler
Victoria L. Pitkin
Renee Rampulla**

NYSSCPA 2015 – 2016 Board of Directors

Joseph M. Falbo,
President

F. Michael Zovistoski, *President-elect*

John Lauchert,
Secretary/Treasurer

Christopher G. Cahill,
Vice President

Jennifer R. George,
Vice President

Stephen T. Surace,
Vice President

Michael M. Todres,
Vice President

Joanne S. Barry,
ex officio

Scott M. Adair

Jeffrey F. Allen

Edward L. Arcara

Paul E. Becht

Jack M. Carr

Anthony S. Chan

John F. Craven

Rosemarie Giovinazzo-

Barnickel

Elizabeth A. Haynie

Elliot L. Hendler

Jan C. Herringer

Patricia A. Johnson

Jean G. Joseph

Barbara A. Marino

Kevin Matz

Mitchell J. Mertz

Michael E. Milisits

Jacqueline E. Miller

Barbara L. Montour

Iralma Pozo

M. Jacob Renick

Warren Ruppel

Steven A. Stanek

Denise M. Stefano

Janeen F. Sutryk

Tracy D. Tarsio

Mark Ulrich

Beth Van Bladel

Mark Weg

David J. Wojnas

David G. Young

NYSSCPA 2015 - 2016 Professional Ethics Committee

Renee Rampulla, *Chair*

Eric H. Altstadter

Gary E. Carpenter

David C. Daly

Jo Ann Golden

Elliot L. Hendler

Hae U. Lee

Steven J. Leifer

Elliot A. Lesser

Ronald Nash

Brian K. Pearson

Victoria L. Pitkin

Paul M. Ribaldo

Michael Rudegear

Robert E. Sohr

P. Gerald Sokolski

Andrew J. Vuono

NYSSCPA Staff

Ernest J. Markezin

William R. Lalli

New York State Society of Certified Public Accountants

Comments on

Exposure Draft, AICPA Professional Ethics Division – *Omnibus Proposal*, November 25, 2015

The New York State Society of Certified Public Accountants (NYSSCPA) appreciates the opportunity to provide comments on the AICPA's Professional Ethics Executive Committee (PEEC) proposed interpretations enumerated in the Omnibus Proposal, November 25, 2015 (the Proposal). Our comments on each of the proposed interpretations follow.

Transfer of Files and Return of Client Records in Sale, Transfer or Discontinuance of Member's Practice

The proposed interpretation allows the client 90 days to respond to the member's written notification of the sale or transfer of the member's practice. The NYSSCPA believes that a second notification should be sent to clients who do not respond except in those circumstances in which the initial notification was undeliverable. We realize that the addition of such a requirement would extend the member's uncertainty as to what to do with the records to six months. We do not believe that this is reasonable. Therefore, we suggest that the PEEC consider reducing the timeframe to 45 days with the additional requirement of sending a second notification at the end of that period, except as described above. We believe that 45 days is consistent with the requirements of Section 1.400.200 *Records Requests* of the *Code of Professional Conduct* and is a reasonable period of time for the client to respond.

As to the form of the written notice, we believe that this requirement should include the use of email communication. While people may move, they tend not to change their email accounts. Allowing the use of email communication may reduce the number of notices that are unable to be delivered.

The NYSSCPA generally agrees that a member should maintain, in a confidential manner, any client files that are not transferred or are unable to be returned to the client in accordance with their firm's record retention policy and applicable legal or regulatory requirements, but requests that the PEEC perhaps give consideration to potential impracticalities caused by extraordinary unforeseen circumstances such as a catastrophic illness.

In addition, we suggest that the phrase "whichever is longer" in paragraphs 1.400.205.01 and 1.400.205.02 be changed to "for the longer of the time periods specified therein."

Finally, The NYSSCPA believes that the effective date of the proposed interpretation should be deferred for three months after the end of the month in which the Interpretation appears in the *Journal of Accountancy* to allow members time to establish firm policies in order to comply with the interpretations.

Disclosing Client Information in Connection with a Review or Acquisition of the Member's Practice

The NYSSCPA believes that this area is covered sufficiently in Section 1.700.001 *Confidential Client Information Rule* and extant Interpretation 1.700.050 *Disclosing Client Information in Connection with a Review of the Member's Practice*. When a member or firm obtains a client's files through the acquisition of another member's practice, the client becomes a client of the successor member or firm. The member or members of that firm are bound thereafter by the requirements of Rule 1.700.001. We do not believe that this requires additional clarification.

Disclosure of a Commission and Referral Fee

The NYSSCPA is in agreement with the PEEC that this disclosure should be in writing. However, to be consistent with Section 1.520.001 *Commissions and Referral Fees Rule*, we believe that the title of the interpretation should be changed to *Disclosure of Commissions and Referral Fees*.

In addition, and for the reasons stated above, we believe that the effective date should be deferred for three months after the end of the month in which the Interpretation appears in the *Journal of Accountancy*.

We appreciate the PEEC's consideration of our comments.