

EFFECTIVE ENTERPRISE RISK MANAGEMENT STARTS WITH A CONVERSATION

The AICPA and NC State's ERM Initiative are jointly hosting a 1½-day workshop, Board and Senior Management Roles in Risk Oversight: Taking a Strategic View of the Enterprise, in New York, NY, October 14-15, 2010.

http://www.cpa2biz.com/AST/Main/CPA2BIZ_Primary/AuditAttest/PRDOVR~PC-AUDITCONF/PC-AUDITCONF.jsp

As policymakers assess the causes of the recent financial crisis, it is becoming more clear that improvements will need to be made both in the way that senior executives approach risk management activities within their organization, and the role of their boards of directors in risk oversight. Just last month, the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") issued a proposed rule that would require public companies to disclose more information in their proxies about their board's risk management role and how compensation practices affect the company's overall risk profile. The SEC also indicated that additional proposed rules would be forthcoming with respect to a company's disclosures in its Form 10-K about its risks and risk management practices. And last spring, Sen. Charles Schumer, D-N.Y., introduced the Shareholder Bill of Rights Act of 2009 (now in a Senate Committee) that would require establishing a risk management committee comprised of independent directors.

While some may fear that the increasing calls for better risk management may result in additional compliance burdens with little value added, many companies have found that they can put in place effective processes for managing risks on an enterprise-wide basis that will improve strategic decision-making, and support the achievement of organizational objectives. In order for enterprise risk management ("ERM") to be seen as value-adding however, the board and senior executives of an organization must set the appropriate tone for an open dialogue about the risks an organization faces, its appetite for those risks, and its plans for managing those risks.

Having an effective ERM process does not mean you must produce a multitude of checklists, models, and dashboards. This misperception that ERM is a very complex process that will involve a tremendous amount of resources and be a potential source of bureaucracy has been an impediment to ERM implementation in many organizations. In fact, an over-reliance on models and quantitative risk measures and reports has been cited as a contributing factor to the failure of risk management processes in some organization. And when the credit rating agency, Standard & Poor's ("S&P") began assessing ERM practices within the companies it rates, its initial focus was on the rated company's risk management culture and strategic risk management. S&P explicitly

AUDIT COMMITTEE BRIEF

From the Audit Committee Effectiveness Center

recognized that ERM would not look the same at all organizations and that it would be open-minded about the form of the risk management structure.

ERM should be implemented in the way that works best for your organization to provide the information needed for management and the board to make better, more risk-informed, strategic decisions. Proponents of ERM stress that the goal of effective ERM is not to lower risk. Rather, ERM is designed to more effectively manage risks on an enterprise-wide, holistic basis so that stakeholder value is preserved and grows over time. Said differently, ERM allows management and the board to appropriately weigh risks against potential rewards. It has been suggested that one of the potential causes of the recent financial crisis was that the focus and reporting was concentrated on rewards, or financial returns, and not nearly enough attention was focused on the risks involved in achieving those returns.

As a result, many organizations are starting to consider implementing ERM or are in the beginning stages of implantation of an ERM process. The following are some keys to implementing an effective ERM process based upon “lessons learned” at organizations that have successfully implemented ERM:

- Strong senior management support for enterprise risk management
 - Candid conversations about risk among senior managers and board members
- Simplicity at the outset - initially use qualitative measures, not complex quantitative measures
 - Start by creating risk awareness and probing for emerging risks
- Build on tools that are already in place
 - Value can be created and cost minimized when you connect existing “silos” of risk management (for example, health and safety, insurance, and compliance functions) to leverage current efforts and build an enterprise-wide view of risks and approach to risk management
- Plan for your ERM process to evolve over time
 - ERM is not a project or a fad, but will evolve over time as your organization buys into the process and becomes more sophisticated in its approach to managing risks.

Increasingly, organizations are realizing that their current processes are inadequate to manage the complexities of the global business environment. And managing risks informally or on an ad hoc basis may no longer be acceptable given the increased expectations for effective risk management processes being placed on senior managers and their boards. Adoption of ERM can address emerging expectations for improved risk management in a way that can also add value by improving risk awareness within the organization and focusing attention

Visit Audit Committee Effectiveness Center

aicpa.org/audcommctr

AUDIT COMMITTEE BRIEF

From the Audit Committee Effectiveness Center

For more information:

COSO has released a new thought paper, *Effective Enterprise Risk Oversight: The Role of the Board of Directors*. It is aimed at helping boards of directors strengthen their oversight of enterprise risks.

<http://www.coso.org/documents/COSOBoardsERM4pager-FINALRELEASEVERSION82409.pdf>

on the risk/reward relationship. Effective ERM implementation can start very simply, with a candid conversation about the risks the organization faces in pursuit of value.

Author Bio

Bonnie Hancock - Executive Director, NC State University ERM Initiative

Bonnie Hancock is the executive director of the Enterprise Risk Management (ERM) Initiative, and is also a lecturer in accounting at NC State's College of Management.

Her background includes executive positions at both Progress Energy and Exploris Museum. She has served as President of Exploris, and at Progress Energy, has held the positions of president of Progress Fuels (a Progress Energy subsidiary with over \$1 billion in assets), senior vice president of finance and information technology, vice president of strategy and vice president of accounting and controller. Thus she brings unique insights on boards and executive management as well as practical perspectives on managing risk across increasingly complex global enterprises.

Her teaching focuses on financial management and business valuation.

Enterprise Risk Management— Integrated Framework (2004)

In response to a need for principles-based guidance to help entities design and implement effective enterprise-wide approaches to risk management, COSO issued the *Enterprise Risk Management—Integrated Framework* in 2004. This framework defines essential enterprise risk management components, discusses key ERM principles and concepts, suggests a common ERM language, and provides clear direction and guidance for enterprise risk management. The guidance introduces an enterprise-wide approach to risk management as well as concepts such as: risk appetite, risk tolerance, portfolio view. This framework is now being used by organizations around the world to design and implement effective ERM processes.

http://www.cpa2biz.com/AST/Main/CPA2BIZ_Primary/InternalControls/COSO/PRDOVR-PC-990015/PC-990015.jsp

DISCLAIMER:

This publication has not been approved, disapproved or otherwise acted upon by any senior technical committees of the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants, nor does it represent the views of or an official position of the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. This article is not intended as legal, accounting or other professional advice and should not be relied upon as such. If legal, accounting or other professional advice or expert assistance is required, the services of a competent professional should be sought.

© 2009 AICPA. All Rights Reserved. Requests for permission to re-print or re-use AICPA content should be directed to copyright@aicpa.org.